

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 21-1534V

(not to be published)

BARBARA SWORD, personal
representative of the estate of BILLY
SWORD, deceased,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: May 30, 2023

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Attorney's Fees and Costs

Ronald Craig Homer, Conway, Homer, P.C., Boston, MA, for Petitioner.

Sarah Black Rifkin, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On July 1, 2021, Billy Sword filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act").³ The petition alleged that Mr. Sword suffered Guillain-Barre syndrome ("GBS") as a result of an influenza vaccine received on September 19, 2018. Petition at 1. On November 17, 2022, I issued a decision awarding compensation for Mr. Sword's GBS injury, based on the Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 49.

¹ In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

³ Following Mr. Sword's death, the court granted Petitioner's motion to amend the caption to name his wife, who had been named personal representative of his estate, as Petitioner. ECF No. 24.

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$52,758.89 (representing \$48,090.30 for attorney's fees, \$2,604.76 for attorney's costs, and \$2,063.83 for Petitioner's out-of-pocket expenses). Petitioner's Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, filed May 8, 2023, ECF No. 55. In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that she incurred out-of-pocket expenses in the amount of \$2,063.83. ECF No. 56.

Respondent reacted to the motion on May 9, 2023, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Respondent's Response to Motion at 2-3, 3 n.2, ECF No. 57. Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

The rates requested for work performed through the end of 2022 are reasonable and consistent with our prior determinations, and will therefore be adopted. ECF No. 55 at 4-30. Petitioner has also requested 2023 attorney hourly rates as follows: \$500 for work performed by Ronald Homer - representing a rate increase of \$25; \$455 for work performed by Joseph Pepper - representing a rate increase of \$40; and \$320 for work performed by Nathaniel Enos – representing a rate increase of \$40. *Id.* at 30-32, 71-72. And Petitioner requests an hourly rate of \$185 for paralegal work performed in 2023. *Id.* at 30-32, 72-73. All travel time was properly billed at one-half of the attorney's usual hourly rate. *Id.* at 26; see, e.g., *Hocraffer v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 99-533V, 2011 WL 3705153, at *24 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. July 25, 2011). I find these hourly rates to be reasonable, and will award the attorney's fees requested. (And all time billed to the matter was also reasonably incurred).

Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed attorney costs, except for \$26.41 paid for postage and \$20.00 for 200 pages of in-house copying costs. ECF No. 55 at 32-65. I will nevertheless allow reimbursement of these unsubstantiated costs. And Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought.

Finally, Petitioner requests out-of-pocket expenses in the amount of \$2,063.83. ECF No. 55 at 2. These costs are comprised of attorney's fees and costs related to establishment of Billy Sword's estate and appointment of his wife, Barbara Sword, as executor. *Id.* at 68-69. "Special masters generally award the reasonable costs of establishing an estate and appointing a representative," but not costs which are excessive or related to the administration of the estate. *Fulling v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 18-1549V, 2022 WL 3023505, at *3 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. July 11, 2022); *accord.*

Durand v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs., No. 15-1153V, 2020 WL 639372, at *6-7 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 16, 2020). I find these costs to be reasonable and will award the full amount requested.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. **Petitioner is awarded the total amount of \$52,758.89⁴ as follows:**

- **A lump sum of \$50,695.06, representing reimbursement in the amount of \$48,090.30 for attorney's fees and in the amount of \$2,604.76 for attorney's costs, in the form of a check payable jointly to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Ronald Craig Homer; and**
- **A lump sum of \$2,063.83, representing reimbursement for Petitioner's costs, in the form of a check payable to Petitioner.**

In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.⁵

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

⁴ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, Section 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. *See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

⁵ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.